

Jucys-Murphy elements and centers of cellular algebras *

Yanbo Li

Department of Information and Computing Sciences,
Northeastern University at Qinhuangdao;
Qinhuangdao, 066004, P.R. China

School of Mathematics Sciences, Beijing Normal University;
Beijing, 100875, P.R. China
E-mail: liyanbo707@163.com

November 18, 2009

Abstract

Let R be an integral domain and A a cellular algebra over R with a cellular basis $\{C_{S,T}^\lambda \mid \lambda \in \Lambda, S, T \in M(\lambda)\}$. Suppose that A is equipped with a family of Jucys-Murphy elements which satisfy the separation condition in the sense of A. Mathas [14]. Let K be the field of fractions of R and $A_K = A \otimes_R K$. We give a necessary and sufficient condition under which the center of A_K consists of the symmetric polynomials in Jucys-Murphy elements.

1 Introduction

Jucys-Murphy elements were constructed for the group algebras of symmetric groups first. The combinatorics of these elements allow one to compute simple representations explicitly and often easily in the semisimple case. Then Dipper, James and Murphy [3], [4], [5], [6], [7] did a lot of work on representations of Hecke algebras and produced analogues of the Jucys-Murphy elements for Hecke algebras of types A and B. The constructions for other algebras can be found in

*keywords: Jucys-Murphy elements, cellular algebras, center.

[11], [16] and so on. In [4], Dipper and James conjectured that the center of a Hecke algebra of type A consists of symmetric polynomials in Jucys-Murphy elements. The conjecture was proved by Francis and Graham [8] in 2006. In [2], Brundan proved that the center of each degenerate cyclotomic Hecke algebra consists of symmetric polynomials in the Jucys-Murphy elements. An analogous conjecture for Ariki-Koike Hecke algebra is open in non-semisimple case.

Cellular algebras were introduced by Graham and Lehrer [10] in 1996, motivated by previous work of Kazhdan and Lusztig [13]. The theory of cellular algebras provides a systematic framework for studying the representation theory of non-semisimple algebras which are deformations of semisimple ones. Many classes of algebras from mathematics and physics are found to be cellular, including Hecke algebras of finite type, Ariki-Koike Hecke algebras, q -Schur algebras, Brauer algebras, partition algebras, Birman-Wenzl algebras and so on, see [9], [10], [17], [18] for details.

The fact that most of the algebras which have Jucys-Murphy elements are cellular leads one to defining Jucys-Murphy elements for general cellular algebras. In [14], Mathas did some work in this direction. By the definition of Mathas, we investigate the relations between the centers and the Jucys-Murphy elements of cellular algebras.

Let R be an integral domain and A a cellular R -algebra with a cellular basis $\{C_{S,T}^\lambda \mid S, T \in M(\lambda), \lambda \in \Lambda\}$. Let K be the field of fractions of R and $A_K = A \otimes_R K$. Suppose that A is equipped with a family of Jucys-Murphy elements $L_1, \dots, L_m$ which satisfy the separation condition [14]. For any $\lambda \in \Lambda$, $\{c_\lambda(i) \mid 1 \leq i \leq m\}$ is a family of elements in R . Then the main result of this paper is the following theorem.

Theorem. *Suppose that every symmetric polynomial in $L_1, \dots, L_m$ belongs to the center of A_K . Then the following are equivalent.*

- (1) *The center of A_K consists of symmetric polynomials in Jucys-Murphy elements.*
- (2) *$\{c_\lambda(i) \mid 1 \leq i \leq m\}$ can not be obtained from $\{c_\mu(i) \mid 1 \leq i \leq m\}$ by permutations for arbitrary $\lambda, \mu \in \Lambda$ with $\lambda \neq \mu$.*

The condition in the above theorem is also a necessary condition for the center of A consisting of the symmetric polynomials in Jucys-Murphy elements. Moreover, by this theorem, we can prove that the centers of Ariki-Koike Hecke algebras consist of the symmetric polynomials in Jucys-Murphy elements in semisimple case. The proof is different from Ariki's in [1] and A. Ram's in [15].

2 Cellular algebras and Jucys-Murphy elements

In this section, we first recall the definition of cellular algebras and then give a quick review of the results under the so-called separation condition in A. Mathas' paper [14].

Definition 2.1. ([10] 1.1) *Let R be a commutative ring with identity. An associative unital R -algebra is called a cellular algebra with cell datum (Λ, M, C, i) if the following conditions are satisfied:*

(C1) *The finite set Λ is a poset. Associated with each $\lambda \in \Lambda$, there is a finite set $M(\lambda)$. The algebra A has an R -basis $\{C_{S,T}^\lambda \mid S, T \in M(\lambda), \lambda \in \Lambda\}$.*

(C2) *The map i is an R -linear anti-automorphism of A with $i^2 = \text{id}$ which sends $C_{S,T}^\lambda$ to $C_{T,S}^\lambda$.*

(C3) *If $\lambda \in \Lambda$ and $S, T \in M(\lambda)$, then for any element $a \in A$, we have*

$$aC_{S,T}^\lambda \equiv \sum_{S' \in M(\lambda)} r_a(S', S) C_{S',T}^\lambda \pmod{A(< \lambda)},$$

where $r_a(S', S) \in R$ is independent of T and where $A(< \lambda)$ is the R -submodule of A generated by $\{C_{S'',T''}^\mu \mid S'', T'' \in M(\mu), \mu < \lambda\}$.

Apply i to the equation in (C3), we obtain

$$(C3') \quad C_{T,S}^\lambda i(a) \equiv \sum_{S' \in M(\lambda)} r_a(S', S) C_{T,S'}^\lambda \pmod{A(< \lambda)}.$$

Let R be an integral domain. Given a cellular algebra A , we will also assume that $M(\lambda)$ is a poset with an order $\leq_\lambda$. Let $M(\Lambda) = \bigsqcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} M(\lambda)$, we consider $M(\Lambda)$ as a poset with an order $\leq$ as follows.

$$S \leq T \Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} S \leq_\lambda T, & \text{if } S, T \in M(\lambda); \\ \lambda \leq \mu, & \text{if } S \in M(\lambda), T \in M(\mu). \end{cases}$$

Let K be the field of fractions of R and $A_K = A \otimes_R K$. We will consider A as a subalgebra of A_K .

Definition 2.2. ([14] 2.4) *Let R be an integral domain and A a cellular algebra. A family of elements $L_1, \dots, L_m$ are called Jucys-Murphy elements of A if*

- (1) $L_i L_j = L_j L_i$, for $1 \leq i, j \leq m$;
- (2) $i(L_j) = L_j$, for $j = 1, \dots, m$;
- (3) For all $\lambda \in \Lambda$, $S, T \in M(\lambda)$ and L_i , $i = 1, \dots, m$,

$$C_{S,T}^\lambda L_i \equiv c_T(i) C_{S,T}^\lambda + \sum_{V < T} r_{L_i}(V, T) C_{S,V}^\lambda \pmod{A(< \lambda)},$$

where $c_T(i) \in R$, $r_{L_i}(T, V) \in R$. We call $c_T(i)$ the content of T at i . Denote $\{c_T(i) \mid T \in M(\Lambda)\}$ by $\mathcal{C}(i)$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$.

Example. Let K be a field. Let A be the group algebra of symmetric group S_n . Set $L_i = \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} (i, j)$ for $i = 2, \dots, n$. Then L_i , $i = 2, \dots, n$, is a family of Jucys-Murphy elements of A .

Definition 2.3. ([14] 2.8) Let A be a cellular algebra with Jucys-Murphy elements $\{L_1, \dots, L_m\}$. We say that the Jucys-Murphy elements satisfy the separation condition if for any $S, T \in M(\Lambda)$, $S \leq T$, $S \neq T$, there exists some i with $1 \leq i \leq m$ such that $c_S(i) \neq c_T(i)$.

Remark. The separation condition forces A_K to be semisimple (c.f. [14]).

From now on, we always assume that A is a cellular algebra equipped with a family of Jucys-Murphy elements which satisfy the separation condition. We now recall some results of [14].

Definition 2.4. ([14] 3.1) Let A be a cellular algebra with Jucys-Murphy elements $\{L_1, \dots, L_m\}$. For $\lambda \in \Lambda$, $S, T \in M(\lambda)$, define

$$F_T = \prod_i \prod_{c \in \mathcal{C}(i), c \neq c_T(i)} (L_i - c) / (c_T(i) - c)$$

and $f_{S,T}^\lambda = F_S C_{S,T}^\lambda F_T$.

Note that the coefficient of $C_{S,T}^\lambda$ in the expansion of $f_{S,T}^\lambda$ is 1 for any $\lambda \in \Lambda$ and $S, T \in M(\lambda)$, see [14] 3.3 (a). Then Mathas proved the following theorems.

Theorem 2.5. ([14] 3.7) Let A be a cellular algebra with Jucys-Murphy elements $\{L_1, \dots, L_m\}$. Let $\lambda, \mu \in \Lambda$, $S, T \in M(\lambda)$ and $U, V \in M(\mu)$. Then

(1)

$$f_{S,T}^\lambda f_{U,V}^\mu = \begin{cases} \gamma_T f_{S,V}^\lambda, & \lambda = \mu, T = U, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where $\gamma_T \in K$ and $\gamma_T \neq 0$ for all $T \in M(\Lambda)$.

(2) $\{f_{S,T}^\lambda \mid S, T \in M(\lambda), \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ is a cellular basis of A_K . □

Theorem 2.6. ([14] 3.16) Let A be a cellular algebra with Jucys-Murphy elements $\{L_1, \dots, L_m\}$. Then

(1) Let $\lambda \in \Lambda$ and $T \in M(\lambda)$. Then F_T is a primitive idempotent in A_K . Moreover, $\{F_T \mid T \in M(\lambda)\}$ is a complete set of pairwise orthogonal primitive idempotents in A_K .

(2) $F_\lambda = \sum_{T \in M(\lambda)} F_T$ is a central idempotent in A_K for any $\lambda \in \Lambda$. Moreover, $\{F_\lambda \mid \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ is a complete set of central idempotents which are primitive in $Z(A_K)$.

(3) In particular, $1 = \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} F_\lambda = \sum_{T \in M(\Lambda)} F_T$ and $L_i = \sum_{T \in M(\Lambda)} c_T(i) F_T$. □

3 Jucys-Murphy elements and centers of cellular algebras

In [14], A. Mathas gave a relation between the center and Jucys-Murphy elements of a cellular algebra.

Proposition 3.1. ([14] 4.13) *Let A be a cellular algebra with Jucys-Murphy elements $\{L_1, \dots, L_m\}$. For any $\lambda \in \Lambda$ and $S, T \in M(\lambda)$, if $\{c_S(i) \mid 1 \leq i \leq m\}$ can be obtained by permutations from $\{c_T(i) \mid 1 \leq i \leq m\}$, then every symmetric polynomial in $L_1, \dots, L_m$ belongs to the center of A_K .* $\square$

In fact, the inverse proposition also holds.

Proposition 3.2. *Let A be a cellular algebra with a family of Jucys-Murphy elements $\{L_1, \dots, L_m\}$. Suppose that every symmetric polynomial in $L_1, \dots, L_m$ belongs to the center of A_K . Let $\lambda \in \Lambda$ and $S, T \in M(\lambda)$. Then $\{c_S(i) \mid 1 \leq i \leq m\}$ can be obtained by permutations from $\{c_T(i) \mid 1 \leq i \leq m\}$.*

Proof: Suppose that there exists some $\lambda \in \Lambda$ and $S, T \in M(\lambda)$ such that $\{c_S(i) \mid 1 \leq i \leq m\}$ can not be obtained by permutations from $\{c_T(i) \mid 1 \leq i \leq m\}$. Then there exists a symmetric polynomial p such that

$$p(c_S(1), \dots, c_S(m)) \neq p(c_T(1), \dots, c_T(m)).$$

Note that $L_i = \sum_{X \in M(\Lambda)} c_X(i) F_X$, then

$$p(L_1, \dots, L_m) = \sum_{U \in M(\Lambda)} p(c_U(1), \dots, c_U(m)) F_U.$$

Multiply by F_T on both sides, we get $p(L_1, \dots, L_m) F_T = p(c_T(1), \dots, c_T(m)) F_T$ from Theorem 2.6 (1), the equation $p(L_1, \dots, L_m) F_S = p(c_S(1), \dots, c_S(m)) F_S$ is obtained similarly.

On the other hand, since $p(L_1, \dots, L_m) \in Z(A_K)$, then by Theorem 2.6 (3), $p(L_1, \dots, L_m) = \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} r_\lambda F_\lambda$, where $r_\lambda \in K$. Multiply by F_T on both sides, we get $p(L_1, \dots, L_m) F_T = r_\lambda F_T$. The equation $p(L_1, \dots, L_m) F_S = r_\lambda F_S$ can be obtained similarly. Then $p(c_T(1), \dots, c_T(m)) = r_\lambda = p(c_S(1), \dots, c_S(m))$. It is a contradiction. $\square$

By the above proposition, if every symmetric polynomial in $L_1, \dots, L_m$ belongs to the center of A_K , then for any $\lambda \in \Lambda$ and $S, T \in M(\lambda)$, we have $\{c_S(i) \mid 1 \leq i \leq m\}$ and $\{c_T(i) \mid 1 \leq i \leq m\}$ are the same if we do not consider the order. So we can denote any of them by $\{c_\lambda(i) \mid 1 \leq i \leq m\}$.

Now we are in a position to give the main result of this paper.

Theorem 3.3. *Let R be an integral domain and A a cellular R -algebra with a cellular basis $\{C_{S,T}^\lambda \mid S, T \in M(\lambda), \lambda \in \Lambda\}$. Let K be the field of fractions of R and $A_K = A \otimes_R K$. Suppose that A is equipped with a family of Jucys-Murphy elements $L_1, \dots, L_m$ which satisfy the separation condition and all symmetric polynomials in $L_1, \dots, L_m$ belong to the center of A_K . Then the following are equivalent.*

- (1) *The center of A_K consists of all symmetric polynomials in the Jucys-Murphy elements.*
- (2) *For any $\lambda, \mu \in \Lambda$ with $\lambda \neq \mu$, $\{c_\lambda(i) \mid 1 \leq i \leq m\}$ can not be obtained from $\{c_\mu(i) \mid 1 \leq i \leq m\}$ by permutations.*

To prove this theorem, we need the following two lemmas.

Lemma 3.4. *Let $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_m$ be indeterminates over a field K and let $\{x_1, \dots, x_m\}$ and $\{y_1, \dots, y_m\}$ be two families of elements in K . Suppose that there exists some $k \in K$, such that $p(x_1, \dots, x_m) = kp(y_1, \dots, y_m)$ for any symmetric polynomial $p(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_m) \in K[X_1, X_2, \dots, X_m]$. Then $\{x_1, \dots, x_m\}$ can be obtained by permutations from $\{y_1, \dots, y_m\}$.*

Proof: Clearly, if p is a symmetric polynomial, then p^2 is also a symmetric polynomial. Then

$$(p(x_1, \dots, x_m))^2 = (kp(y_1, \dots, y_m))^2 = k(p(y_1, \dots, y_m))^2.$$

Hence $(k^2 - k)(p(y_1, \dots, y_m))^2 = 0$. Then $k^2 - k = 0$ since p is arbitrary. So we have $k = 0$ or $k = 1$. If $k = 0$, then $p(x_1, \dots, x_m) = 0$ for any p . This is impossible. Then $k = 1$. That is $p(x_1, \dots, x_m) = p(y_1, \dots, y_m)$ for arbitrary p . $\square$

Let $\{k_{11}, \dots, k_{1m}\}, \dots, \{k_{n1}, \dots, k_{nm}\}$ be n families of elements in K and p a symmetric polynomial. We will denote $p(k_{i1}, \dots, k_{im})$ by $p(i)$.

Lemma 3.5. *Suppose that $\{k_{11}, \dots, k_{1m}\}, \dots, \{k_{n1}, \dots, k_{nm}\}$ are n families of elements in a field K and $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_m$ indeterminates. Let $p'_1(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_m), \dots, p'_n(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_m) \in K[X_1, X_2, \dots, X_m]$ be n symmetric polynomials such that*

$$\begin{vmatrix} p'_1(1) & \dots & p'_1(n) \\ \dots & \dots & \dots \\ p'_n(1) & \dots & p'_n(n) \end{vmatrix} \neq 0.$$

Then there exist n symmetric polynomials $p_1, \dots, p_n$ such that

$$\begin{vmatrix} p_1(1) & p_1(2) & \dots & p_1(n) \\ 0 & p_2(2) & \dots & p_2(n) \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & p_n(n) \end{vmatrix} \neq 0.$$

Proof: Without loss of generality, we assume that $p'_1(1) \neq 0$ and set $p_1 = p'_1$. Then let $p_2 = p'_2 - \frac{p'_2(1)}{p'_1(1)}p_1$. Clearly, p_2 is a symmetric polynomial and $p_2(1) = 0$. Moreover,

$$\begin{vmatrix} p_1(1) & p_1(2) & \dots & p_1(n) \\ 0 & p_2(2) & \dots & p_2(n) \\ p'_3(1) & p'_3(2) & \dots & p'_3(n) \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ p'_n(1) & p'_n(2) & \dots & p'_n(n) \end{vmatrix} = \begin{vmatrix} p'_1(1) & \dots & p'_1(n) \\ \dots & \dots & \dots \\ p'_n(1) & \dots & p'_n(n) \end{vmatrix}.$$

Repeat the above process similarly, we can find $p_1, \dots, p_n$ such that

$$\begin{vmatrix} p_1(1) & p_1(2) & \dots & p_1(n) \\ 0 & p_2(2) & \dots & p_2(n) \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & p_n(n) \end{vmatrix} = \begin{vmatrix} p'_1(1) & \dots & p'_1(n) \\ \dots & \dots & \dots \\ p'_n(1) & \dots & p'_n(n) \end{vmatrix} \neq 0.$$

□

Proof of Theorem. Since $p(L_1, L_2, \dots, L_m) = \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} p(c_\lambda(1), \dots, c_\lambda(m)) F_\lambda$ (see the proof of Proposition 4.12 in [14]), then (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2) is obvious. Now we prove (2) $\Rightarrow$ (1) by induction on the number of the elements in the poset Λ . Denote the number by $\sharp(\Lambda)$ and denote the elements in Λ by natural numbers.

It is easy to know that we only need to find symmetric polynomials $p'_1, p'_2, \dots, p'_n$ such that

$$\begin{vmatrix} p'_1(1) & p'_1(2) & \dots & p'_1(n) \\ p'_2(1) & p'_2(2) & \dots & p'_2(n) \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ p'_n(1) & p'_n(2) & \dots & p'_n(n) \end{vmatrix} \neq 0$$

where $n = \sharp(\Lambda)$.

For $\sharp(\Lambda) = 1$, it is clear.

We now assume that (2) $\Rightarrow$ (1) holds for $\sharp(\Lambda) = n$. Then by Lemma 3.5, there exist symmetric polynomials $p_1, \dots, p_n$ such that

$$\begin{vmatrix} p_1(1) & p_1(2) & \dots & p_1(n) \\ 0 & p_2(2) & \dots & p_2(n) \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & p_n(n) \end{vmatrix} \neq 0.$$

We now assume that for any symmetric polynomial p ,

$$d := \begin{vmatrix} p_1(1) & p_1(2) & \dots & p_1(n) & p_1(n+1) \\ 0 & p_2(2) & \dots & p_2(n) & p_2(n+1) \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & p_n(n) & p_n(n+1) \\ p(1) & p(2) & \dots & p(n) & p(n+1) \end{vmatrix} = 0.$$

Then $p(n+1) = k_1p(1) + k_2p(2) + \dots + k_np(n)$, where $k_i \in K$ is independent of p for $i = 1, \dots, n$. Then we have $p_np(n+1) = k_1p_np(1) + k_2p_np(2) + \dots + k_np_np(n)$ since p_np is also a symmetric polynomial. Assume that $p_n(n+1) \neq 0$, then $p_n(n+1)p(n+1) = k_np_n(n)p(n)$, or $p(n+1) = kp(n)$, where $k \in K$ is independent of the choice of p . This implies that $p(n+1) = p(n)$ by Lemma 3.4. It is a contradiction. Then $p_n(n+1) = 0$. That is $k_np_n(n)p(n) = 0$. Since $p_n(n) \neq 0$ and p is arbitrary, then $k_n = 0$. Repeat this process similarly, we have $k_i = 0$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$ and then $p(n+1) = 0$. It is impossible for p is arbitrary. Then there exists a symmetric polynomial p such that $d \neq 0$. This completes the proof. $\square$

Corollary 3.6. *Let R be an integral domain and A a cellular algebra. Suppose that A is equipped with a family of Jucys-Murphy elements which separate A . If the center of A consists of symmetric polynomials in Jucys-Murphy elements, then $\{c_\lambda(i) \mid 1 \leq i \leq m\}$ can not be obtained from $\{c_\mu(i) \mid 1 \leq i \leq m\}$ by permutations for arbitrary $\lambda, \mu \in \Lambda$ with $\lambda \neq \mu$.* $\square$

4 An application on Ariki-Koike Hecke algebras

In this section, we prove that the center of a semisimple Ariki-Koike Hecke algebra ($q \neq 1$) consists of the symmetric polynomials in Jucys-Murphy elements. It is a new proof different from Ariki's in [1] and A. Ram's [15].

Firstly, we recall some notions of combinatorics. Recall that a partition of n is a non-increasing sequence of non-negative integers $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_r)$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^r \lambda_i = n$. The diagram of a partition λ is the subset $[\lambda] = \{(i, j) \mid 1 \leq j \leq \lambda_i, i \geq 1\}$. The elements of λ are called nodes. Define the residue of the node $(i, j) \in [\lambda]$ to be $j - i$. For any partition $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots)$, the conjugate of λ is defined to be a partition $\lambda' = (\lambda'_1, \lambda'_2, \dots)$, where λ'_j is equal to the number of nodes in column j of $[\lambda]$ for $j = 1, 2, \dots$. For partitions, we have the following simple lemma.

Lemma 4.1. *Let λ and μ be two partitions of n . Then $\lambda = \mu$ if and only if all residues of nodes in $[\lambda]$ and $[\mu]$ are the same.* $\square$

Given two partitions λ and μ of n , write $\lambda \geq \mu$ if

$$\sum_{i=1}^j \lambda_i \geq \sum_{i=1}^j \mu_i, \text{ for all } i \geq 1.$$

This is the so-called dominance order. It is a partial order.

A λ -tableau is a bijection $t : [\lambda] \rightarrow \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. We say t a standard λ -tableau if the entries in t increase from left to right in each row and from top to bottom in each column. Denote by t^λ (resp., t_λ) the standard λ -tableau, in which the numbers $1, 2, \dots, n$ appear in order along successive rows (resp., columns). The row stabilizer of t^λ , denoted by S_λ , is the standard Young subgroup of S_n corresponding to λ . Let $\text{Std}(\lambda)$ be the set of all standard λ -tableaux.

For a fixed positive integer m , a m -multipartitions of n is an m -tuple of partitions which sum to n . Let

$$\lambda = ((\lambda_{11}, \lambda_{12}, \dots, \lambda_{1i_1}), (\lambda_{21}, \lambda_{22}, \dots, \lambda_{2i_2}), \dots, (\lambda_{m1}, \lambda_{m2}, \dots, \lambda_{mi_m}))$$

be a m -multipartitions of n , we denote $\lambda_{j1} + \lambda_{j2} + \dots + \lambda_{ji_j}$ by $n_{j\lambda}$ for $1 \leq j \leq m$. A standard λ -tableau is an m -tuple of standard tableaux. We can define t^λ similarly.

Let R be an integral domain, $q, u_1, u_2, \dots, u_m \in R$ and q invertible. Fix two positive integers n and m . Then Ariki-Koike algebra $\mathcal{H}_{n,m}$ is the associative R -algebra with generators $T_0, T_1, \dots, T_{n-1}$ and relations

$$\begin{aligned} (T_0 - u_1)(T_0 - u_2) \cdots (T_0 - u_m) &= 0, \\ T_0 T_1 T_0 T_1 &= T_1 T_0 T_1 T_0, \\ (T_i - q)(T_i + 1) &= 0, \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq n-1, \\ T_i T_{i+1} T_i &= T_{i+1} T_i T_{i+1}, \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq n-2, \\ T_i T_j &= T_j T_i, \quad \text{for } 0 \leq i < j-1 \leq n-2. \end{aligned}$$

Denote by Λ the set of m -multipartitions of n . For $\lambda \in \Lambda$, let $M(\lambda)$ be the set of standard λ -tableau. Then $\mathcal{H}_{n,m}$ has a cellular basis of the form $\{m_{st}^\lambda \mid \lambda \in \Lambda, s, t \in M(\lambda)\}$. See [6] for details.

Let $L_i = q^{1-i} T_{i-1} \cdots T_1 T_0 T_1 \cdots T_{i-1}$. Then $L_1, L_2, \dots, L_n$ is a family of Jucys-Murphy elements of $\mathcal{H}_{n,m}$. If i is in row r column c of the j -th tableau of t , then $m_{st}^\lambda L_i \equiv u_j q^{c-r} m_{st}^\lambda$. If $[1]_q \cdots [n]_q \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq m} \prod_{|d| < n} (q^d u_i - u_j) \neq 0$ and $q \neq 1$, then the Jucys-Murphy elements separate $M(\Lambda)$. These were proved in [12].

Denote $\mathcal{H}_{n,m} \otimes_R K$ by $\mathcal{H}_{n,m,K}$. The following result has been proved in [1] and [15]. We give a new proof here.

Theorem 4.2. ([1],[15]) *The center of $\mathcal{H}_{n,m,K}$ is equal to the set of symmetric polynomials in the Jucys-Murphy elements if $[1]_q \cdots [n]_q \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq m} \prod_{|d| < n} (q^d u_i - u_j) \neq 0$ and $q \neq 1$.*

Proof: The algebra $\mathcal{H}_{n,m,K}$ satisfies the conditions of the Proposition 3.1 has been pointed out in [14]. By Theorem C, we only need to show that for any $\lambda, \mu \in \Lambda$ with $\lambda \neq \mu$, $\{c_\lambda(i) \mid 1 \leq i \leq n\}$ can not be obtained from $\{c_\mu(i) \mid 1 \leq i \leq n\}$ by permutations. Note that we can obtain these two sets by t^λ and t^μ respectively.

Case 1. There exists $1 \leq j \leq m$ such that $n_{j\lambda} \neq n_{j\mu}$. Then by the separation condition, the number of the elements of the form $u_j q^x$ is $n_{j\lambda}$ in $\{c_\lambda(i) \mid 1 \leq i \leq M\}$ and is $n_{j\mu}$ in $\{c_\mu(i) \mid 1 \leq i \leq M\}$, where $x \in \mathbb{Z}$. This implies that $\{c_\lambda(i) \mid 1 \leq i \leq M\}$ can not be obtained from $\{c_\mu(i) \mid 1 \leq i \leq n\}$ by permutations.

Case 2. $n_{j\lambda} = n_{j\mu}$ for all $1 \leq j \leq m$. Then there must exist $1 \leq s \leq m$, such that the partition of n_s in λ is not equal to that in μ since $\lambda \neq \mu$. Denote the partitions by λ_s and μ_s . Then the residues of λ_s and μ_s are not the same. Now by Lemma 4.1 and the separation condition, the set of all the elements of the form $u_s q^x$ in $\{c_\lambda(i) \mid 1 \leq i \leq M\}$ is different from that in $\{c_\mu(i) \mid 1 \leq i \leq n\}$. Then for any $\lambda, \mu \in \Lambda$ with $\lambda \neq \mu$, $\{c_\lambda(i) \mid 1 \leq i \leq m\}$ can not be obtained from $\{c_\mu(i) \mid 1 \leq i \leq m\}$ by permutations. $\square$

Remark. If $q \neq 1$, then $[1]_q \cdots [n]_q \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq m} \prod_{|d| < n} (q^d u_i - u_j) \neq 0$ if and only if $\mathcal{H}_{n,m,K}$ is semisimple. See [1] for details.

Acknowledgments The author acknowledges his supervisor Prof. C.C. Xi and the support from the Research Fund of Doctor Program of Higher Education, Ministry of Education of China. He also acknowledges Dr. Wei Hu and Zhankui Xiao for many helpful conversations.

References

- [1] S. Ariki, *On the semi-simplicity of the Hecke algebra of $(\mathbb{Z}/r\mathbb{Z}) \wr S_n$* , J. Algebra, **169**, (1994), 216-225.
- [2] J. Brundan, *Centers of degenerate cyclotomic Hecke algebras and parabolic category*, arXiv: math0607717.
- [3] R. Dipper and G. James, *Representations of Hecke algebras of general linear groups*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3), **52**, (1986), 20-52.
- [4] R. Dipper and G. James, *Blocks and idempotents of Hecke algebras of general linear groups*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3), **54**, (1987), 57-82.

- [5] R. Dipper and G. James, *Representations of Hecke algebras of type B_n* , J. Algebra, **146**, (1992), 454-481.
- [6] R. Dipper, G. James and A. Mathas, *Cyclotomic q -Schur algebras*, M. Z., **229**, (1998), 385-416.
- [7] R. Dipper, G. James and G. Murphy, *Hecke algebras of type B_n at roots of unity*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3), **70**, (1995), 505-528.
- [8] A. Francis and J.J. Graham, *Centers of Hecke algebras: The Dipper-James conjecture*, J. Algebra, **306**, (2006), 244-267.
- [9] M. Geck, *Hecke algebras of finite type are cellular*, Invent. math., **169**, (2007), 501-517.
- [10] J.J. Graham and G.I. Lehrer, *Cellular algebras*, Invent. Math., **123**, (1996), 1-34.
- [11] T. Halverson and Arun Ram, *Partition Algebras*, European Journal of Combinatorics **26**, Issue 6, (2005), 869-921.
- [12] G. James and A. Mathas, *The Jantzen sum formula for cyclotomic q -Schur algebras*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **352**, (2000), 5381-5404.
- [13] D. Kazhdan and G. Lusztig, *Representations of Coxeter groups and Hecke algebras*, Invent. Math., **53**, (1979), 165-184.
- [14] A. Mathas, *Seminormal forms and Gram determinants for cellular algebras*, arXiv:math.RT/0604108v4.
- [15] A. Ram and J. Ramagge, *Affine Hecke algebras, cyclotomic Hecke algebras and Clifford theorem*, in A tribute to C.S. Seshadri: Perspectives in Geometry and Representation theory, V. Lakshimibai et al eds., Hindustan Book Agency , New Delhi (2003), 428–466.
- [16] A. Ram, *Seminormal representations of Weyl groups and Iwahori-Hecke algebras*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3), **75**, (1997), 99-133.
- [17] C.C. Xi, *Partition algebras are cellular*, Compositio math., **119**, (1999), 99-109.
- [18] C.C. Xi, *On the quasi-heredity of Birman-Wenzl algebras*, Adv. Math., **154**, (2000), 280-298.